[2nd November 1935

Mr. V. T. Arasu:—"May I know from the Hon. Minister whether the Government have accepted the auction of toddy shops in groups as a policy?"

The Hon. Diwan Bahadur S. Kumaraswami Reddiyar:—"The answer is there. It is left to the discretion of the Commissioner to do so when he thinks that in the local circumstances, such a course is necessary or desirable."

Mr. V. T. Arasu:—"Will the Hon. Minister state in what districts it was so done and in what district it was not so done?"

The Hon. Diwan Bahadur S. Kumaraswami Reddiyar:—"The question relates only to two districts. I have no reason to think that this has been resorted to in any other district. In the City of Madras also I think it was thought of at first."

Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder:—"May I ask, Sir, what are the special considerations for adopting this system?"

The Hon. Diwan Bahadur S. Kumaraswami Reddiyar:—"It brings more revenue."

Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder:—"Is it a fact, Sir, there was a loss in resorting to this grouping system at Salem during the year?"

The Hon. Diwan Bahadur S. Kumaraswami Reddiyar:—"There was no loss."

Administration of Justice.

Recognition by Government of the Provincial Civil Courts Process Servers Central Committee.

* 424-A *Q.*—Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder: Will the Hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether representations have been received by the Government on behalf of the Provincial Civil Courts Process Servers Central Committee for the recognition of the same by the Government; and

(*b*) if so, when and what orders have been passed thereon and whether a copy of the order will be placed on the table?

A.—(*a*) Yes. In January 1934.

(*b*) As the body appeared to be a Central Committee of the various district unions and as the district unions themselves were not recognized by the High Court, the Committee of the Unions could not be recognized under the rules. There is no specific order issued in the case except the letter sent to M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur T. M. Narayanaswami Pillai Avargal on 12th September 1935. A copy [a] of the letter is placed on the table of the House.

Mr. V. M. Ramaswami Mudaliyar:—"May I ask what are the difficulties in the way of Government not giving recognition to these unions and the Central Committee?"

The Hon. Sir K. V. Reddi Nayudu:—"I think the answer is already on paper, that this is only a committee, as we can see

[a] Printed as Appendix VI on page 509 infra.

2nd November 1935]

from the very name, and the district unions have not been approved by the High Court; and as such, the rules framed by the Government of India have not been complied with."

Mr. V. M. RAMASWAMI MUDALIYAR:—"May I ask whether the Government have ascertained from the High Court why recognition was not given to the various district unions?"

The Hon. Sir K. V. REDDI NAYUDU:—"They themselves informed us that they were not recognized unions."

Mr. V. M. RAMASWAMI MUDALIYAR:—"May I ask whether the Government have ascertained the reason why the High Court was not prepared to recognize these district unions?"

The Hon. Sir K. V. REDDI NAYUDU:—"No, Sir."

Mr. V. M. RAMASWAMI MUDALIYAR:—"Will the Government be pleased to ascertain the reasons, especially in view of the fact that recognition has been given to the Non-Gazetted Government Officers' Association and such other associations of Government servants?"

The Hon. Sir K. V. REDDI NAYUDU:—"I think, Sir, the best thing would be for the members of the associations themselves to approach the High Court."

Representations for the reorganization of the service of Process Servers.

* 424-B *Q.*—Mr. K. A. NACHIYAPPA GOUNDER: Will the Hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether representations have been received by the Government for reorganizing the 'process-servers'' service as one of 'bailiffs'' from the Ninth Provincial Process-Servers' Conference, held at Srivaikuntam on 24th May 1934; and

(*b*) if so, what action has been taken thereon and what orders have been passed in the matter and whether a copy of the order will be placed on the table?

A.—(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) No action was taken thereon as the proposal involved additional expenditure for which money could not be found. The other part of the question does not arise.

Law and Order (General).

Alleged Hindu-Muslim tension at Kandukur.

* 424-C *Q.*—Mr. P. V. KRISHNAYYA CHOUDARI: Will the Hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government have received any report about the recent Hindu-Muslim trouble at Kandukur in Nellore district, and, if so, what it is;

(*b*) whether the Government or the Collector have received any representations from the Hindus with regard to the same;

(*c*) whether the Government have made any enquiry with regard to the same, and if not, whether they will call for a report from the Collector; and